

Ellen Mihaich, Ph.D., DABT Environmental and Regulatory Resources, LLC

On behalf of the American Chemistry Council

**November 30, 2005** 



#### Issues

- 1. Dosing study not conclusive in dosing scenario selection
  - No dose-response relationship
  - High aggression seen with Japanese quail confounding factor?
  - Conflicting response between generations
- 2. Extensive list of endpoints evaluated in both the dosing study and the species selection
  - Endpoint Relevance
  - Use in risk assessment
- 3. Species selection for avian testing



#### Dosing study not conclusive in dosing scenario selection

- Lack of dose-response relationship
  - High variability in sex ratio
  - High variability in male sexual maturation
  - Histological response varied
  - Difficult to assess trend in plumage characteristics
- · Japanese quail aggression
  - Strain, lighting, husbandry?
- · Conflicting response between generations
  - Tibiotarsus/tarsometatarsus slightly increased in F1 males but decreased in F2



# Extensive list of endpoints evaluated in both the dosing study and the species selection

- Endpoint Relevance Must be Defined
  - Link Endpoint to Adverse Effect
  - Guideline Should Describe Relevance
- Use in Risk Assessment
  - Measurement versus Assessment Endpoint
  - Purpose to Protect Avian Populations (Apical Endpoints) or to Determine Mode of Action ?



#### Species selection for avian testing

- Few actual impacts from exposure to a known "estrogen"
- Bobwhite quail 24 months/Japanese Quail 10 months
- · Similarity in response between species
- Delayed maturation and plumage possible endpoints - specifically endocrine?



#### Questions for Panel

- Statistics acceptable, however, biological significance must be considered
- · Preferred Dosing Scenario inconclusive
- Draft Guideline needs to clarify the purpose of the study, identify the relevance of endpoints and their use in decision-making



#### Recommendations

- · Dosing study
  - Additional work needed to identify best dosing scenario
  - Additional compounds both positive and negative needed to validate protocol and endpoints to be evaluated
  - Address equivocal responses: biological vs statistical significance clarify data interpretation procedures
- · Draft Guideline needs additional development
  - identify appropriate endpoints not just everything we can measure
  - Evaluate potential for husbandry/strain/logistics/resources to interfere with endpoint evaluation and success of study
  - Does a second generation add enough to justify resources, including animals?